ART comments on the revised, proposed records retention schedule of the Department of Homeland Security, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Detainee Records

August 5, 2019

The Archivists Round Table of Metropolitan New York (ART) is submitting comments to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) regarding the revised, proposed records retention schedule of the Department of Homeland Security, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Detainee Records DAA-0567-2015-0013 that was issued by NARA on June 14, 2019 (1). ART has represented a diverse group of more than 400 archivists, librarians, records managers, and other information and cultural heritage professionals in the New York metropolitan area since its inception in 1979. On October 17, 2017, ART submitted to NARA our response to the original appraisal and proposed ICE records retention schedule that was published in the Federal Register on July 14, 2017. (2) We are submitting further comments as we are still alarmed by some of the appraisal decisions on the revised, proposed ICE records retention schedule.

Item 0001 Detainee Sexual Abuse and Assault Files ART believes these records should be retained permanently. The files comprise a more robust documentation of detainee sexual abuse and assault reports than the data retained in Significant Event Notification System Master File/Data (N1-567-11-4) and the Department of Homeland Security, Annual and Other Reports to Congress, DAA-0563-2013-0005-0001. (1) That only cases deemed “significant” by ICE will be retained in the Significant Event Notification System Master File/Data, and that only “some” sexual abuse and assault incidents and data will be retained in the Department of Homeland Security, Annual and Other Reports to Congress, is troubling as it privileges top-down decisions and summaries of the historical record over more inclusive perspectives of all who are involved in such cases. We also question the temporary retention of these records because the FOIA request process can be confusing, decisions or actions on the part of the federal agency are not always transparent, and access decisions still privilege the agency over the individual. This records series possesses significant administrative, legal and historical value, evidencing the on-the-ground circumstances surrounding sexual assaults of individuals in Federal custody.

Revised item 0002 Detainee Death Review Files ART believes these records should be retained permanently. Given NARA’s description of the scope and content of this series, we believe the Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO) Detainee Death Review Files provide a more robust documentation of detainee deaths than the Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR) Detainee Death Review Files (new Item 0003) or the Detainee Death
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Reports (new Item 0004), and bring together information from disparate sources,
creating a more complete picture of the events surrounding the deaths of individuals
in Federal custody. Given the significance of the events documented, we believe that
the ERO Detainee Death Review Files will be researched by future generations.
Further, although NARA states that “most if not all” (1) of the records accumulated in
the ERO Death Review files are already scheduled elsewhere, we believe this
fragmentation of documentary evidence will place undue and unnecessary burden on
the researcher to find and compile information from various places.

Original Item 0003 Detainee Telephone Rate Records Although these records were
removed from this schedule, ART urges the reinstatement and longer retention
(beyond 6 years) of Detainee Telephone Rate Records in records schedule
DAA-0567-2015-0013. Expensive telephone rates hinder correspondence between
detainees and their family, friends, and their access to counsel/information. This data
should be retained for at least 10 years for evidential and research purposes.

Revised Item 0007 Detention Reporting Information Line (DRIL) Records ART believes
these records should be retained permanently. Although some of the reporting in the DRIL
records may be documented in other places, as a body of records these could be useful for
studying detention practices, including the percentage of individuals detained versus
monitored, and how such decisions were made. The DRIL records could have a high
research value as a complete data set.

Revised Item 0008 Detainee Segregation Reports ART believes these records should be
retained permanently. They include robust documentation of “reasons for segregation,
dates of segregation, whether the individual has an illness or disability, whether the
individual is LGBTI, and if there is a related Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and
Intervention (SAAPI) case” that not only could “support interests of legal rights and
accountability” but will be significant for research for future generations. (3)

Original Item 0010 Daily Detention Log Original Item 0011 Residential Detainee Locator
Files ART believes these records should be reinstated in records schedule
DAA-0567-2015-0013. The removal of these items from the schedule fragment the
documentary evidence surrounding detainee custody information.
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ART recognizes the important and crucial work NARA performs. Appraisal may well be the most difficult and contentious aspect of records management and archival work. ART urges NARA to review and reconsider its appraisal decisions for the revised, proposed records retention schedule of the Department of Homeland Security, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Detainee Records as many of these records are “those that retain their importance for documenting legal status, rights, and obligations of individuals, groups, organizations, and governmental bodies despite the passage of time; those that enable Federal officials to explain past decisions, form future policy, and be accountable for consequences; those that provide the means for evaluating the effects of Federal actions on individuals, communities, and the natural and man-made environment; and those that contribute substantially to knowledge and understanding of the people and communities of our nation.” (4)

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Archivists Round Table of Metropolitan New York, Board of Directors
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